1 Brian C. Rocca, S.B. #221576 Glenn D. Pomerantz, S.B. #112503 brian.rocca@morganlewis.com glenn.pomerantz@mto.com 2 Sujal J. Shah, S.B. #215230 Kuruvilla Olasa, S.B. #281509 sujal.shah@morganlewis.com kuruvilla.olasa@mto.com 3 Michelle Park Chiu, S.B. #248421 **MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP** michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com 350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor Minna Lo Naranjo, S.B. #259005 Los Angeles, California 90071 4 minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Rishi P. Satia, S.B. #301958 5 rishi.satia@morganlewis.com Kyle W. Mach, S.B. #282090 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP kyle.mach@mto.com 6 One Market, Spear Street Tower Justin P. Raphael, S.B. #292380 justin.raphael@mto.com San Francisco, CA 94105 7 Telephone: (415) 442-1000 Emily C. Curran-Huberty, S.B. #293065 Facsimile: (415) 422-1001 emily.curran-huberty@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 8 560 Mission Street, Twenty Seventh Floor Richard S. Taffet, pro hac vice richard.taffet@morganlewis.com San Francisco, California 94105 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Telephone: (415) 512-4000 101 Park Avenue 10 New York, NY 10178 Jonathan I. Kravis, pro hac vice Telephone: (212) 309-6000 jonathan.kravis@mto.com 11 Facsimile: (212) 309-6001 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 601 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 500E Ian Simmons, pro hac vice Washington, D.C. 20001 12 isimmons@omm.com Telephone: (202) 220-1100 Benjamin G. Bradshaw, S.B. #189925 13 bbradshaw@omm.com Daniel M. Petrocelli, S.B. #97802 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP dpetrocelli@omm.com 14 Stephen J. McIntyre, S.B. #274481 1625 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 smcintyre@omm.com 15 Telephone: (202) 383-5300 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Facsimile: (202) 383-5414 1999 Avenue of the Stars 16 Los Angeles, California 90067 Attorneys for Defendants Telephone: (310) 553-6700 Facsimile: (310) 246-6779 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 19 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 20 Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 21 Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC, Case No. **DECLARATION OF CHRISTIAN** 3:20-cv-05671-JD **CRAMER IN SUPPORT OF** 22 **GOOGLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE** *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust*, Case No. 23 MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL 3:20-cv-05761-JD Utah v. Google LLC, Case No. 3:21- cv-05227-24 JD

25

I, Christian Cramer, declare as follows:

- 1. I am currently a Finance Director for Defendant Google, LLC (along with Google-affiliated entities, "Google"). I have been employed by Google since September 2007 and have held my current position since March 2017. Over the course of my employment at Google, I have acquired personal knowledge of Google's practices and procedures concerning the maintenance of the confidentiality of its strategic, business, and marketing information.
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Google's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, filed on June 3, 2022 in *In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD; *Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD; *In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD; and *State of Utah v. Google LLC*, Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD.
- 3. The contents of this declaration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. This declaration is based on my personal knowledge of Google's policies and practices as they relate to the treatment of confidential information, the materials that were provided to me and reviewed by me, and/or conversations with other knowledgeable employees of Google. If called upon as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 4. Google follows a strict practice that requires confidential treatment of all nonpublic commercial proposals to third parties and nonpublic business agreements with third parties. In my experience and to the best of my knowledge, Google does not disclose internal documents or confidential agreements or proposals of this nature outside of the company.
- 5. The disclosure of confidential commercial proposals to third parties and/or business agreements with third parties could significantly harm Google's relationships and ability to conduct business with counterparties and prospective counterparties, and/or place Google at a disadvantage with competitors who could use Google's confidential analyses to their

being generally known to Google's competitors, counterparties, or the general public.

6. I understand that Plaintiffs and Non-party Activision Blizzard, Inc. have filed a light letter brief that reflects information from an fidential materials that Google has produced.

advantage in competition with Google. These materials therefore have economic value from not

- joint letter brief that reflects information from confidential materials that Google has produced in the above-captioned litigation (MDL Dkt. 259) (hereinafter "Letter Brief"). I have reviewed the Letter Brief and can confirm that it contains confidential information concerning the purported financial value of consideration exchanged under a commercial agreement between Google and Activision Blizzard, Inc. To the best of my knowledge, neither Google nor Activision Blizzard, Inc. has ever publicly disclosed certain terms of that commercial agreement that are described in the Letter Brief.
- 7. I understand that Google's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal requests that the Court maintain the following specific information under seal. For the reasons stated below, this information is confidential and highly sensitive, and if publicly disclosed, could significantly prejudice Google's competitive position by harming Google's relationship with business partners, putting Google at unfair disadvantage in future business negotiations, and permitting Google's competitors to gain unfair advantage in competition with Google.
- 8. Letter Brief, Page 4, Fourth Paragraph, Portion of Third Sentence (four words describing financial consideration in confidential business contract): I understand that Google requests to seal four words describing the purported financial value of consideration exchanged under Google's confidential agreement with Activision Blizzard. Inc. To the best of my knowledge, this purported financial value of consideration has never been publicly disclosed. If that purported financial consideration were revealed to competitors and potential business counterparties, they could use that information to disadvantage Google. For example, if other app developers and video game publishers (i.e., competitors of Activision Blizzard) became

aware of this information, they could use it to inform their negotiation strategies and as leverage in negotiations with Google, to the detriment of Google and Activision Blizzard alike (e.g., by demanding that Google provide the same financial consideration to them). Similarly, if Google's competitors learned of the purported value of financial consideration exchanged under Google's confidential agreement with Activision Blizzard, they could use this information to disadvantage Google in negotiations with Activision Blizzard or other prospective business partners. In particular, knowledge of that purported financial consideration could lead a competitor of Google to offer the same or similar consideration to Activision Blizzard or other Google business partners to secure their business. The information also implicates Non-party Activision Blizzard's confidentiality interests. Google's business partners, who are not parties to this litigation, have a reasonable expectation that Google will maintain the confidentiality of contractual terms.

Gompeting app store" and through the end of the sentence): I understand that Google requests to seal less than two lines of text describing both the purported nature and the purported financial value of consideration exchanged under Google's confidential agreement with Activision Blizzard, Inc. To the best of my knowledge, neither the nature of the consideration exchanged under that confidential agreement nor any estimate of its financial value has ever been publicly disclosed. If the purported nature and/or purported financial value of that consideration were revealed to competitors and potential business counterparties, they could use that information to disadvantage Google. For example, if other app developers and video game publishers (i.c., competitors of Activision Blizzard) became aware of this information, they could use it to inform their negotiation strategies and as leverage in negotiations with Google, to the detriment of Google and Activision Blizzard alike (e.g., by demanding that Google provide the

same kind or value of consideration to them). Similarly, if Google's competitors learned of the purported nature or purported value of the consideration exchanged under Google's confidential agreement with Activision Blizzard, they could use this information to disadvantage Google in negotiations with Activision Blizzard or other prospective business partners. In particular, knowledge of the purported nature or purported value of that consideration could lead a competitor of Google to offer the same or similar consideration to Activision Blizzard or other Google business partners to secure their business. The information also implicates Non-party Activision Blizzard's confidentiality interests. Google's business partners, who are not parties to this litigation, have a reasonable expectation that Google will maintain the confidentiality of contractual terms.

10. Because the public disclosure of the confidential material described above would harm Google's competitive position in the marketplace, there is good cause and there are compelling reasons to seal this material.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on the 2nd day of June 2022, in Mountain View, California.

Christian Cramer